09:00:49	1	REPORTER'S RECORD
	2	VOLUME 1 OF 1 VOLUMES
	3	TRIAL CAUSE NO. PR-11-3238-3
	4	IN RE: ESTATE OF § IN THE PROBATE COURT
		S
	5	MAX D. HOPPER, §
		S
	6	DECEASED §
		§
	7	
		JO N. HOPPER, § NO. 3
	8	§
		Plaintiff, §
	9	\$
		v . §
	10	\$
		JP MORGAN CHASE, N.A. §
	11	STEPHEN B. HOPPER and §
		LAURA S. WASSMER, §
	12	\$
		Defendants. §
	13	\$
		§ DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS
	14	
09:00:49	15	
	16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
	17	
	18	
	19	On the 18th day of October, 2011, the
	20	following proceedings came on to be heard in the
	21	above-entitled and numbered cause before the Honorable
	22	John B. Peyton, Associate Judge Presiding, held in
	23	Dallas, Dallas County, Texas.
	24	Proceedings reported by machine
	25	shorthand.

```
09:00:49
         1
                                APPEARANCES
         2
              Mr. James Albert Jennings
              Mr. Ken Tomlinson
         3
               10632900
               20123100
          4
               ERHARD & JENNINGS, P.C.
               1601 Elm Street
         5
               Suite 4242
              Dallas, Texas 75201
         6
              Mr. Michael Graham
         7
               08267500
               GRAHAM LAW FIRM
         8
               100 Highland Park Village
               Suite 200
         9
               Dallas, Texas 75202
               ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF
        10
        11
                    - AND -
        12
              Ms. Melinda H. Sims
        13
               24007388
               GLAST, PHILLIPS & MURRAY
        14
               14801 Quorum Drive
               Suite 500
09:00:49 15
              Dallas, Texas 75254
               ATTORNEY FOR DR. STEPHEN HOPPER and LAURA WASSMER
        16
        17
                   - AND -
        18
              Mr. John C. Eichman
        19
              Mr. Thomas H. Cantrill
               06494800
        20
               03765950
               HUNTON & WILLIAMS, LLP
        21
               1445 Ross Avenue
               Suite 3700
        22
               Dallas, Texas 75232
               ATTORNEYS FOR JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.
        23
               IN ITS CAPACITY AS INDEPENDENT ADMINISTRATOR
               OF THE ESTATE OF MAX D. HOPPER, RESPONDENT
        24
        25
```

```
08:59:46
          1
          2
                                 PROCEEDINGS
                              THE COURT: Be seated. This is Cause No.
          3
                PR-10-3238-3, the Estate of Max D. Hopper.
          4
                              Gentlemen and lady, if you would,
          5
                announce your appearances for the record, please.
          6
          7
                              MR. EICHMAN: Your Honor, John Eichman
                and Tom Cantrill for the Independent Administrator JP
          8
                Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., and for JP Morgan Chase Bank,
          9
         10
                N.A., in its corporate capacity.
                              MS. SIMS: Melinda Sims with Glast,
         11
         12
                Phillips & Murray. I represent Dr. Stephen Hopper and
         13
                Laura Wassmer, children of the decedent.
                              MR. JENNINGS: Your Honor, I'm James
09:00:56 15
                Albert Jennings, and this is Ken Tomlinson of my firm,
                Erhard & Jennings, and we represent Jo N. Hopper, the
         16
                Plaintiff in the case and the widow, and, of course,
         17
                Michael Graham from the Graham Law Firm is here with
         18
         19
                us today, too, on the same --
         20
                              THE COURT: My name is John Peyton. I'm
         21
                the Associate Judge for the Probate Courts of Dallas
         22
                County. I was assigned to this matter for hearing on
                the Motion to Compel -- I can't remember the formal
         23
         24
                title of it. The --
         25
                              MR. JENNINGS: Turn over our papers, Your
```

4

Estate of Max D. Hopper - October 18, 2011

09:01:29	1	Honor.
	2	THE COURT: Yeah. Independent
	3	Administrator's Complaint to Compel Delivery of Papers
	4	and the Motion to Compel Mediation.
	5	THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. Is I'm
	6	having
	7	THE COURT: And the Motion to Compel
	8	Mediation.
	9	Here, let me get wired up here. I've got
	10	power.
	11	THE REPORTER: Is there anything I'm
	12	supposed to turn on down here?
	13	THE COURT: No, I don't think there's
	14	anything you have to do. Anything that's
09:01:59	15	battery-powered has to be repowered on occasion, and I
	16	think this is one of those occasions. And in the
	17	meantime, I'll just yell.
	18	Gentlemen, I understand that you-all have
	19	settled the matter which was carried over from the
	20	last hearing, that the Court has received a Rule 11
	21	Agreement.
	22	MR. EICHMAN: Yes, Your Honor. The
	23	Independent Administrator has reached a resolution on
	24	its Amended Complaint to compel the delivery of
	25	documents by Sara Williamson, CPA, and in conjunction

```
09:02:43
                with that resolution, has filed a Notice of Nonsuit of
          1
          2
                that complaint, and there's been a Rule 11 Agreement
          3
                filed with the Court relating to the resolution.
                              THE COURT: All right. That leaves the
          5
               Motion to Compel Mediation to be Disposed of, and I
                understand there are also special exceptions that
          6
          7
                are -- that need to be heard. This Court has not --
                or the special exceptions have not been referred to me
          8
          9
                for hearing.
         10
                              Now, if you wish me to hear them, then
                I'll certainly take your agreement and be happy to
         11
               hear them. If you cannot agree on that, then those
         12
         13
                will be remanded to Judge Miller for him to hear.
                              MR. EICHMAN: Judge, we'd be happy to
09:03:36 15
                have this Court hear the special exceptions in the --
                in the lawsuit that Ms. Hopper has filed, but I
         16
                understand Mr. Jennings has expressed some concern and
         17
               has, in fact, objected to Your Honor hearing those
         18
         19
                exceptions, and I assume he still is standing on those
         20
                objections.
         21
                              MR. JENNINGS: Your Honor, this is no
         22
                insult to Your Honor or this Court.
                              THE COURT: I understand.
         23
         24
                              MR. JENNINGS: We -- we purely felt that
         25
                this was a matter that the City Judge, who is going to
```

09:04:07 ultimately try to case, should hear since it -- it 1 2 really goes to a real analysis of completing, so we --3 we felt that -- that was our position yesterday, and it remains our position today, with no offense to the Court. 5 We're -- we're delighted to have you here 6 7 on the matters, but we also -- because you had said at the opening of the other hearing that if -- if anyone 8 wants to appeal my ruling, I -- I won't hear it at 9 10 all. I -- I wanted to be clear that it -- you know, 11 we were not in -- in a blanket way saying, oh, sure, 12 we aren't going to appeal anything. We reserve that 13 right if, as, and when this offer ever need exercising. 09:04:45 15 Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Well, then that 16 leaves us with only the mediation matter to be 17 18 disposed of. 19 MR. EICHMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 20 When we were here on October 7, the Court 21 stated that you were granting our Motion to Compel 22 Mediation, and during the intervening period between then and this reset hearing, the Court expected the 23 24 parties to confer and see if they could make any 25 progress on agreeing to timing and a procedure and had

09:05:21 a feeling had the Court would further put some more 1 2 flesh on its -- on its ruling, so to speak. 3 We have conferred. The administrator thought it was making some progress with at least one of the parties, but last night we determined that, 5 based on further communication, that we haven't made 6 7 progress between the administrator and either of the 8 parties. 9 Our view, Judge, as we expressed at the 10 hearing on the 7th, is that this mediation ought to go forward much sooner than later, and our primary 11 12 concern as the administrator is that, as we pointed 13 out with a room full of -- well, not quite a room full but the numerous lawyers we had at the hearing on the 09:06:19 15 7th and the several lawyers that are here present today, the meters on all these lawyers are running, 16 and the burn rate of attorney's fees, we believe, is 17 going to continue to be very substantial over the next 18 19 few months, at a monthly rate, the administrator 20 believes, in the hundreds of thousands of dollars. 21 And in an effort to try to get this thing 22 resolved before there's even more spent in litigation and argument and the like, we thought it appropriate 23 24 for the Court to -- to send the parties to mediation 25 in November, and if the parties can't agree on

09:07:18 mediators, for the Court to -- to select mediators. 1 2 Now, we've heard from one of the -- one of the group's parties here that they believe that 3 formal and informal discovery needs to be conducted, 5 and I think they -- Ms. Sims mentioned that in the letter she sent the Court late yesterday. We haven't 6 7 heard anything about what kind of formal and informal discovery they want. 8 9 I mentioned when we were here last time 10 that we filed -- the administrator filed its inventory 11 and appraisement and list of claims. In June there were objection filed, and we wanted those objections 12 13 to be heard quickly, but they set them in January on the pretext that there needed to be a bunch of 09:08:09 15 discovery done. Well, since that time, there's been zero 16 discovery done by -- by Ms. Sims' clients with respect 17 to that inventory. We haven't heard yet what kind of 18 19 discovery they think needs to be done before there can 20 be a meaningful mediation, and we would expect that if 21 they think that, indeed, there is discovery that needs 22 to be done, they -- they should come forward and identify exactly what that is and why it's going to 23 24 take a few months to get that -- to get that done.

Dickman Davenport, Inc 214.855.5100 www.dickmandavenport.com 800.445.9548

So, Judge, bottom line is, we would ask

25

09:08:48 the Court to set this mediation for the month of 1 2 November and that parties get about the business of seeing if they can get this case resolved or as many 3 of the issues as possible sooner rather than later. Thank you, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Ms. Sims. 6 7 MS. SIMS: Yes, Your Honor. We do believe that various forms of formal and informal 8 9 discovery need to be done. While the inventory was 10 filed, I guess, in June's time period, there are numerous matters that we've had to deal with between 11 that time and now, including significant work on the 12 13 homestead issue -- we'll refer to it as Robledo, given its address. That's what we'll refer to it as -- and 09:09:33 15 had really hoped that we may have been able to make 16 more progress with the bank at this point and avoided 17 some of this discovery, attempts of ours to set up 18 19 some meetings under terms that we felt were reasonable 20 to do so and make progress on these. Unfortunately we haven't been able to meet with the bank. So we are 21 22 now in the position that we need to do this discovery. Things that we need to know about include 23 24 questions we have as the inventory, when it was filed, 25 it was labeled "work in progress." There are items

09:10:08

09:10:41 15

that the beneficiary actually agree need to go on there. They have yet to go on that inventory, and then there are other assets on there that we yet have enough information on to be able to say what assets are we really, truly dealing with in this estate to come up with a global settlement.

We have just now gotten the accountant's record. We have spent months trying to get the accountant's records. Without those records, there's a certain amount of discovery that we felt we have not been able to do or would be inefficient. We can't effectively talk to the accountant until we see those records. We now have those in our possession.

And so we have them in our possession, and we really couldn't work with them. So, you know, the -- the -- the most recent causes with the global issues have only recently been filed within the last few weeks, and so we need to address information in there. There's some depositions that we anticipate may need to be taken. There are expenses that are being asserted by Joe Hopper for reimbursement out of the estate. We do not know what those are. We need to find out what those are.

There are questions about allocation of other expenses and administration expenses that we'll

09:11:14 be issuing a global settlement. Got to get the 1 2 specifics and details on that. We are all for the attempt to settle this 3 and have mediation, but my clients need the time to know that they are going in and, indeed, know what are 5 all the assets in this estate. It is a big question 6 7 mark. Until we are able to effectively talk with the accountant and some other people who are involved 8 and -- and get additional documents. 9 10 So it's those types of things that we need to do to feel that we go into mediation and can 11 12 really get it done and have the information that we 13 need. So we -- you know, we -- we were looking at something a little beyond January. We're willing to 09:11:51 15 say we're going to do everything we can to be ready by the end of January. 16 I've understood from Mr. Jennings that 17 18 that would work with his clients, as well, and 19 hopefully that's still the case today. We had 20 originally set our hearing for around January 27th, 21 and we thought, why don't we use that time frame 22 instead to try and mediate, and if we need to, then we can have a -- a hearing at a later date. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. JENNINGS: If I could get -- pardon

09:12:15 me, Your Honor. If I could give the Court just a 1 2 brief overview, the inventory that the bank filed in this case was filed a year after they took over to the 3 day after three extensions of time. It came with a letter from Mr. Cantrill that described it as a "work 5 in progress." Ms. Sims is absolutely correct about 6 7 that. We got on top of that immediately and 8 9 filed objections to the inventory because the 10 inventory was so flawed in so many ways, on so many levels. We -- we filed very, very quickly. We had 11 12 been having ongoing discussions with the bank about 13 the items that should be included in the inventory there. It's been, what, three months or so now 09:13:02 15 because that was in July that the inventory was filed. Three months or so has gone by. 16 You -- the -- the Court -- either you, 17 Your Honor, or Judge Miller -- have not been pestered 18 19 with motion after motion by the bank saying, well, 20 gee, now that these have been pointed out to us not 21 only before but in writing again, let's -- let's go 22 down and correct that inventory -- can we have yet a motion before the court to correct the inventory. 23 24 Nothing like that has come from the 25 independent administrator, even though they know that

09:13:33 the inventory is flawed, even though they know that 1 2 the Court itself has told them in letter that the inventory had problems with it. 3 So all that's the backdrop for where we are today. And all I would say to Your Honor is, you 5 know, at first, we were all for an early mediation. 6 7 My client very much wants to see this over. Mr. Eichman in his, you know, appeal -- the reason 8 9 that the fees are being generated at this enormous 10 rate, frankly, one suspects some crocodile tears there because what's really happened here for a long time is 11 that we've been pointing out these flaws to the 12 13 administrator. The administrator has sat on its hands and done nothing about it. 09:14:10 15 Now, we wrote a letter the other day to the bank, and we said, look, we want -- you're our 16 fiduciary. You're absolutely our fiduciary. You've 17 admitted in writing you're our fiduciary. We want the 18 19 bank to turn over its records to us so we can save 20 time and expense and get this thing moving. 21 Yesterday afternoon -- and I thought I 22 brought it with me but I apparently did not. Yesterday afternoon I get a letter from Mr. Cantrill 23 24 that we -- the -- the time frame I had given for 25 responding to the document request was just way too --

09:14:40 way too short and he really needs at least a full 30 1 2 days. 3 I want to respond to that letter formally today because I don't think it's a reasonable response. But you can't come down here and tell 5 everybody, oh, we want to mediate; we want to mediate; 6 7 we want to mediate. By the way, we want to hold on to our records, hold on to our records -- actually hold 8 9 on to your records all the time. 10 It doesn't work that way. You get to 11 pick one path or another. You're going to really come 12 forward, lay it all on the table. That might spur us 13 to an earlier mediation, irrespective of what Ms. Sims says, for her own reasons, which are different than 09:15:11 15 our reasons. But I -- I -- I am very troubled by the 16 bank's two-faced nature in this matter, and I -- I --17 I, therefore, find myself reluctantly -- very 18 19 reluctantly having to essentially support Ms. Sims' 20 position. We said before that we think a mediation 21 ought to take place by January 15th. Our position on 22 that really hasn't changed. We can go to the end of the month. I think Ms. Sims has just now basically 23 said no to the Court, and we would join in this. 24 25

> Dickman Davenport, Inc 214.855.5100 www.dickmandavenport.com 800.445.9548

If we're going to have a mediation as

09:15:38 late as January, we probably don't want to have the 1 2 inventory challenges heard or the objections to inventory that both she filed and we filed -- or Ms. 3 Staubach filed -- whoever filed them -- heard in January. If we're trying mediate this, it's waste of 5 time to prepare for that hearing. 6 7 But that aside -- and I -- I'm very troubled by the bank's posture in this. They've --8 9 they've burned enormous amounts of money. They've wasted huge amounts of money. They've taken time that 10 they never needed to take. They've gone on a wild 11 goose chase for documents that they knew were 12 13 irrelevant, but they've done it anyway, and they just haven't cared how long it took. 09:16:13 15 And when you take that whole year to do 16 an inventory, after three extensions, and then you describe it as a work in progress, and then you just 17 keep on with this game of stall and delay, and then 18 19 you say, oh, Your Honor -- to the Court, oh, we want a 20 mediation right away. Well, I'm sure they do now. 21 Well, it's a little late for all that. 22 That's why we had to file our lawsuit. So from our perspective, we think January is probably real -- a 23 24 realistic time frame. We thought the 15th. Ms. Sims 25 thinks 10 or 15 days later. It probably doesn't

09:16:43 matter very much, frankly, at that point. 1 2 But that's where we are, and so we -- let 3 me say, with great reluctance, we have to join in Ms. Sims' approach to this, as opposed to the earlier approach, which we wanted and embraced originally. 5 That's our position, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. MR. EICHMAN: Judge, very -- very 8 9 quickly, the problem that -- that we're seeing, Judge, 10 is there's been nothing concrete pointed to that needs to be done over the course of the next three months. 11 12 Ms. Sims refers to, well, I've got to take 13 depositions. I've got to do discovery. Whose depositions? What -- what specific discovery? 09:17:22 15 Mr. Jennings says, well -- I sent him a letter here recently asking for the entire bank file 16 on this administration, every document they've got, 17 and he said he wants it in five days. The only reason 18 19 he said that was because he wanted to try to get our 20 entire file before the hearing today because he 21 thought that there was a chance that the Williamson 22 matter would be continued to today and he wanted to try to get some informal discovery on the quick with 23 24 respect to those documents. 25 We've told him that we will give him the

```
09:17:59
                documents that his client is -- is entitled to as
          1
          2
                quickly as we can. Basically he sent us what is, in
                effect, a document request, and that we would get him
          3
                the documents within 30 days of the date of his
                request, which was -- which was about a week ago now,
          5
                and that we would make every effort to get him the --
          6
          7
                the documents that his client is entitled to in a
                shorter period of time.
          8
          9
                              Will get them the -- the necessary
         10
                documents or the documents that they're entitled to
                within the first half of -- of November. It's -- it's
         11
         12
                unclear to us why he needs the entire bank file to
         13
                conduct this mediation where, among other things,
                there are going to be some pure matters of law that
09:18:53 15
                are going to need -- need to be mediated.
                              So the bottom line, Judge, is they
         16
         17
               haven't come up with anything concrete they need to do
               between now and January. Mr. Jennings hasn't pointed
         18
         19
                to anything that he needs to get done, and we think
         20
                that -- that mediation before January is appropriate.
         21
                              Thanks, Judge.
         22
                              THE COURT: Anything further on that
                issue?
         23
         24
                              MR. JENNINGS: Well, I -- I just would,
         25
                again reiterate, Your Honor, that that all sounds
```

```
09:19:21
                well, but the reality and the litany of the real
          1
          2
                chronology of this case doesn't match up at all with
                what Mr. Eichman has -- has told the Court.
          3
                              You saw -- you heard no challenges to my
                statements about the inventory situation, et cetera,
          5
                et cetera. It's because they can't be challenged.
          6
          7
                There's nothing he can really say about that.
                              It took him a year. They've known that
          8
          9
                there are defects. They still haven't addressed them.
         10
                They haven't approached the Court for any leave to
                amend the -- the flawed inventory that they filed.
         11
                It's just problem after problem after problem.
         12
         13
                              They've gone on wild goose chases for
                documents that they had already seen and knew
                perfectly well didn't matter, so we just think that --
09:19:56 15
                that all that put together militates toward Ms. Sims'
         16
         17
               position.
         18
                              That's our position.
         19
                              THE COURT: Mr. Eichman --
         20
                              MR. EICHMAN: Judge -- Judge, I'm not
         21
                going to sit here and attack every point -- every weak
         22
               point that Mr. Jennings makes in -- in his argument.
                If -- if he has a complaint about this inventory,
         23
         24
                let's -- let's get the objections heard, instead of
         25
               pushing them off and pushing them off.
```

09:20:28	1	I'll just leave it at that, Judge. I
	2	don't want to take the Court's time until noon talking
	3	about the problems with his argument.
	4	THE COURT: All right. The Court's going
	5	to order that mediation take place on or before the
	6	end of January of 2012. The parties shall choose and
	7	agree upon a mediator on or before the 20th of
	8	November. If an agreement is not reached by that
	9	date, the Court will then appoint the mediator to
	10	conduct the mediation.
	11	MR. GRAHAM: And the date, Your Honor?
	12	MR. EICHMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
	13	MS. SIMS: Thank you, Your Honor.
	14	MR. GRAHAM: Agree on the mediator and
09:21:02	15	the date?
	16	THE COURT: And the date.
	17	MS. SIMS: As of November which date?
	18	THE COURT: 20th.
	19	MR. JENNINGS: Thank you, Your Honor.
	20	MR. EICHMAN: Judge, I've got an order
	21	that's got some blanks in it, if if
	22	THE COURT: Okay.
	23	MR. JENNINGS: Can we see it, too?
	24	MR. EICHMAN: Oh, absolutely. It's like
	25	the Williamson documents.

09:21:20	1	THE COURT: You'll argue about the
	2	blanks.
	3	MS. SIMS: Do you have an extra?
	4	MR. EICHMAN: I've got plenty.
	5	MR. JENNINGS: The first problem is,
	6	October 7th date I think we'll have to change.
	7	MR. EICHMAN: See, Judge, we can't even
	8	agree on the date that the hearing took place.
	9	MR. JENNINGS: Well, it also has a place
	10	in it for the mediator and the Court's this this
	11	order probably doesn't really I guess you could
	12	just handwrite it in, Your Honor, but it's probably
	13	not a very good form given what you just ordered.
	14	MR. EICHMAN: It has plenty of blanks and
09:22:07	15	the Court has a pen.
	16	MR. JENNINGS: Your Honor, I'm not sure
	17	if the if their motion is really being granted
	18	fully either, and that's another concern that we have
	19	about the format of the order. I didn't unfortunately
	20	didn't bring a copy of it with me.
	21	THE COURT: Okay. What I have underlined
	22	is beginning with the line above the first blank
	23	beginning with the word Independent Administrator, Jo
	24	Hopper, Laura Wassmer and Stephen Hopper and all the
	25	other counsel is going to mediate in this case by

09:25:07	1	January, 31, 2012. Parties shall attempt new
	2	sentence, the parties shall attempt to agree on the
	3	mediator and a time of mediation. If no agreement is
	4	reached before November 20th, 2011, the Court will
	5	pick the mediator and the date for the mediation.
	6	I think that is everything.
	7	MR. JENNINGS: Your Honor, we we would
	8	ask one more thing, that the independent administrator
	9	and and the bank in all capacities so there's no
	10	question that the bank in all capacities is joined,
	11	not simply the independent administrator.
	12	MR. EICHMAN: Oh, we don't have a problem
	13	at all with that, Judge, and if
	14	THE COURT: Okay.
09:26:04	15	MR. EICHMAN: It it it might be
	16	appropriate to say, the independent administrator and
	17	the bank in its corporate capacity, as opposed to all
	18	capacities.
	19	THE COURT: And the bank is Morgan?
	20	MR. EICHMAN: JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA.
	21	THE COURT: You-all agree to redo this
	22	and send it back down in in typed form, if you so
	23	choose.
	24	MR. EICHMAN: That'd be fine, Judge.
	25	THE COURT: Okay. I it is therefore

```
09:27:34
          1
                ordered that JP Morgan Chase Bank as independent
          2
                administrator and in its corporate capacity.
          3
                              MR. JENNINGS: We can just get a
          4
                photocopy done. That'd probably be good enough, Your
          5
                Honor.
          6
                              THE COURT: Today's the 18th; correct?
          7
                              MR. JENNINGS: Yes, it is, Your Honor.
          8
                              THE COURT: All right.
          9
                              (End of proceedings.)
         10
         11
         12
         13
         14
         15
         16
         17
         18
         19
         20
         21
         22
         23
         24
         25
```

```
STATE OF TEXAS
                         )
 1
 2
      COUNTY OF DALLAS )
 3
           I, Kathy E. Weldon, Deputy Official Court Reporter
 4
 5
      for the Probate Court No. 3 of Dallas County, State of
      Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing
 6
      contains a true and correct transcription of all
 7
      portions of evidence and other proceedings requested
 8
 9
      in writing by counsel for the parties to be included
10
      in the reporter's record and the above-styled and
11
      -numbered cause, all of which occurred in open court
      or in chambers and were reported by me.
12
           I further certify that this transcription of the
13
      proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits,
14
      if any, offered by the respective parties.
15
16
           WITNESS my hand this the 31st day of October,
17
      2011.
18
19
20
                              KATHY E. WELDON
21
                              CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
                              256th Judicial District Court
22
                              Dallas County, Texas
                              Dickman Davenport, Inc.
23
                              Firm Registration #312
                              Certification No. 6166
24
                              Certification Expires 12-31-12
                              (214) 855-5100
25
```